

This is an amendment to 16.60.4 NMAC, Sections 9 and 10, effective 1/13/2026

16.60.4.9 FIRM BUSINESS NAMES PROHIBITIONS:

A. Misleading firm names: A firm name or trade name is misleading pursuant to Section 19 of the act if, among other things, the firm name or trade name:

- (1) is not the lawful and registered name of the firm;
- (2) implies the existence of a corporation when the firm is not a corporation such as through the use of the words “corporation”, “incorporated”, “Ltd.”, “professional corporation”, or an abbreviation thereof as part of the firm name if the firm is not incorporated or is not a professional corporation;
- (3) implies the existence of a partnership when there is not a partnership such as by use of the term “partnership”, “limited liability partnership”, the abbreviation “LLP”, “limited liability company”, or the abbreviation “LLC” if the firm is not such an entity;
- (4) includes the name of an individual who is not a CPA if the title “CPAs” is included in the firm name or trade name, except as provided for in Subsection B of 16.60.4.9 NMAC;
- (5) includes information about or indicates an association with persons who are not members of the firm, except as permitted pursuant to Section 14(i) of the Uniform Accountancy Act;
- (6) includes the terms “& company”, “& associate”, or “group”, but the firm does not include, in addition to the named partner, shareholder, owner, or member, at least one other licensee;
- (7) contains any representation that would be likely to cause a reasonable person to have a false or unjustified expectation of favorable results or capabilities, through the use of a false or unjustified statement of fact as to any material matter;
- (8) claims or implies the ability to influence a regulatory body or official;
- (9) includes the name of an owner whose certified public accountant license has been revoked for disciplinary reasons by the board, whereby the licensee has been prohibited from practicing public accountancy or prohibited from using the title CPA or holding himself out as a certified public accountant for more than 90 days after revocation of the license.

B. Permissible firm names: The following types of CPA firm names are not in and of themselves misleading and are permissible:

- (1) a firm name or trade name that includes the names of one or more former or present owners;
- (2) a firm name or trade name that excludes the names of one or more former or present owners;
- (3) a firm name or trade name that uses the “CPA” title as part of the firm name when all named individuals are owners of the firm who hold such title or are former owners who held such title at the time they ceased to be owners of the firm;
- (4) a firm name or trade name that includes the name of a non-CPA owner if the “CPA” title is not a part of the firm name.

C. Name of firm formed as a single member limited liability company (LLC): A firm which is organized as a single member LLC under the Limited Liability Company Act, Sections 53-19-1 to 53-19-74 NMSA 1978, or similar acts of other states may be required by the applicable LLC act to include the word “company” or “Co.” in its name. For purposes of compliance with the act, the firm name shall not include more than one person’s name and shall not include “and”, “&” or a similar term with respect to “company” or “Co.” in a manner which would imply that there was more than 1 owner of the firm.

D. Network firms: A network firm as defined in the AICPA code of professional conduct in effect July 1, 2011 may use a common brand name, or share common initials, as part of the firm name. Such a firm may use the network name as the firm’s name, provided it also shares one or more of the following characteristics with other firms in the network:

- (1) common control, as defined by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, among the firms through ownership, management, or other means;
- (2) profits or costs, excluding costs of operating the association, costs of developing audit methodologies, manuals and training course, and other costs that are immaterial to the firm;

(3) common business strategy that involves ongoing collaboration among the firms whereby the firms are responsible for implementing the association's strategy and are held accountable for performance pursuant to that strategy;

(4) significant part of professional resources;

(5) common quality ~~[control]~~ management policies and procedures that participating firms are required to implement and that are monitored, as defined by peer review standards, by the association.

[16.60.4.9 NMAC - Rp 16 NMAC 60.4.10, 2/14/2002; A, 6/30/2008; A, 1/17/2013; A, 1/13/2026]

16.60.4.10 PEER REVIEW REQUIREMENTS:

A. Participation: A firm seeking to obtain or renew a firm permit to provide accounting and auditing services in New Mexico must be enrolled in a peer review program and undergo a peer review pursuant to Section 6.60.4.10 B of the 1999 Public Accountancy Act [61-28B-1 NMSA 1978], Peer review program objectives are established pursuant to Section 13L of the act to monitor compliance with applicable accounting and auditing standards adopted by generally recognized standard-setting bodies. Emphasis is on education, including appropriate education programs or remedial procedures that may be recommended or required where reporting does not comply with appropriate professional standards.

(1) Firms contracting to perform audits of ~~[state]~~ agencies and local public bodies as defined in the audit act must also comply with peer review standards applicable to those audits.

(2) Participation is required of each firm registered with the board who provides accounting or auditing services pursuant to Subsection 13L of the act.

B. Timing of peer reviews:

(1) Each holder of a board-issued firm permit shall enroll in a board approved peer review program and arrange, schedule, complete and allow time for the sponsoring organization to consider their peer reviews for acceptance prior to the June 30 renewal period.

(a) Firms need to ensure that their peer review year ends and corresponding due dates allow for compliance with Subsection 13E of the act.

(b) Firms may need to consider changing their current peer review year ends and the timing of when their peer reviews are performed in order to comply.

(2) When a firm performs its first engagement requiring its initial peer review, the firm shall be enrolled in a board approved peer review program by the report date of the first engagement, and the due date ordinarily will be 18 months from the report date of that engagement.

(a) The initial peer review must report on the firm's practice for a full year.

(b) The requirements of Subsection 13L of the act regarding permit renewal are initially applicable to the firm the first June 30 renewal period after the 18 month due date.

(c) Hardship Exceptions: The board may make exceptions to the requirements set out in this section for hardships. All hardship requests must be in writing, setting forth detailed reasons for the request, and must be submitted no later than six months prior to expected completion date of the peer review.

(4) The board may grant extensions ~~[up to 180 days from the original due date]~~ in order for the firm to comply with the peer review requirements in Subsection 13E and 13L of the act. All requests for extensions shall be submitted to the board in writing by the firm no later than 30 days prior to renewal date and should include any extensions approved by the sponsoring organization. The board may recognize extensions granted by the sponsoring organization. The board has the authority at its sole discretion to grant any reasonable extensions that it deems necessary and extensions are ordinarily granted for the following reasons:

(a) health;

(b) natural disasters;

~~(b)~~ (c) military service; or

~~(c)~~ (d) other good cause clearly outside the control of the firm.

C. Hardship Exceptions: The board may make exceptions to the requirements set out in this section for hardships. All hardship requests must be in writing, setting forth detailed reasons for the request, and must be submitted no later than six months prior to expected completion date of the peer review.

D. Exemptions: A firm which does not perform accounting or auditing engagements, including but not limited to audits, reviews, compilations, attestations, forecasts, or projections is exempt from the peer review program and shall re-certify annually to the board as to this exempt status as part of the firm permit renewal process. A previously exempt firm which begins providing the above described services must initiate and complete a review within 18 months of the date the services were first provided.

E. Reporting to the board: Firms are required to submit a copy of the following documents related to its most recently accepted peer review to the board:

- (1) peer review report which has been accepted by the sponsoring organization;
- (2) the firm's letter of response (accepted by the sponsoring organization), if applicable;
- (3) the acceptance letter from the sponsoring organization;
- (4) letter(s) signed by the firm acknowledging that the firm agrees to take any actions required by the sponsoring organization, if applicable;
- (5) the completion letter signed by the sponsoring organization notifying the firm that required actions have been appropriately completed, if applicable.
- (6) Upon request of the board, any correspondence from the sponsoring organization regarding the scheduling or completion of a peer review.

F. Submission of documents: the above documents shall be submitted by the firm to the board via mail, or electronically or digitally as follows:

- (1) The documents in Paragraph (1) through (3) of Subsection E of 16.60.4.10 NMAC shall be submitted within 30 days of the sponsoring organization's acceptance.
- (2) The documents in Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 16.60.4.10 NMAC within 30 days from the date the letter is signed by the firm, or with submission of firm renewal application, whichever occurs first.
- (3) The documents in Paragraph (5) of Subsection E of 16.60.4.10 NMAC shall be submitted to the board within 30 days of the date of the letter.
- (4) The documents in Paragraph (6) of Subsection E of 16.60.4.10 NMAC shall be submitted to the board within 30 days of receiving the documents by the firm or upon request by the board.
- (5) If the firm cannot submit the documents in Paragraphs (1) through (5) of Subsection E of 16.60.4.10 NMAC within the stated timeframe or, at the maximum, 180 days after the scheduled due date of the peer review, the firm must submit a letter to the board via mail, electronically or digitally explaining its failure to comply. The board may take disciplinary action for failure to comply.
- (6) Firms may also satisfy this document submission requirement by having the sponsoring organization make the documents described in Paragraphs (1) through (5) of Subsection E of 16.60.4.10 NMAC available to the board within the stated time frames via the AICPA facilitated state board access (FSBA) secure website process.

G. Additional information to be provided by firms or the sponsoring organization, upon request by the board, shall provide written permission for the sponsoring organization to provide information to the board. Permission may be granted annually on the firm renewal form. Such information may include the following (or similar) types of objective information about a firm's review, if known:

- (1) the date the review is or was scheduled to take place;
- (2) the name of the reviewing firm, team captain or review captain;
- (3) if the field work on the peer review has commenced;
- (4) the date the exit conference was expected to or did occur;
- (5) a copy of any extension approval letters;
- (6) whether the peer review working papers have been received by the sponsoring organization;
- (7) whether a must select engagement was included in the scope of engagements reviewed;
- (8) if a technical review is in progress;
- (9) whether the review has been presented to a report acceptance body (RAB);
- (10) the date the review is expected to be presented to the report acceptance body;
- (11) if the firm is going through fair procedures to determine whether it is cooperating with the peer review.

H. Approved peer review sponsoring organizations, programs and peer review standards:

- (1) The board shall approve sponsoring organizations, peer review program(s) and standards.
- (2) The board adopts the American institute of certified public accountants (AICPA) as an approved sponsoring organization and its peer review program ~~and the New Mexico society of CPAs (NMSCPA) or its successor and other peer review programs~~ administered by entities fully involved in the administration of the AICPA peer review program. These organizations are not required to submit a plan of administration to the board for approval. The board may approve other sponsoring organizations and peer review programs.
- (3) Any board approved peer review program and any peer reviewer performing a peer review under this section shall utilize standards for performing and reporting on peer reviews by a recognized

national accountancy organization whose standards are generally accepted by other regulatory authorities in the United States, including but not limited to the AICPA *Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Review*.

(4) The board may terminate its approval of a sponsoring organization for cause following notice and opportunity for hearing. For purposes of this paragraph, “cause” includes but is not limited to failure to maintain an ongoing compliance with the requirements.

(5) For an organization, not specifically identified in these rules as board-approved, to receive board approval for its peer review program and standards, the organization must submit evidence to the satisfaction of the board. At a minimum, the evidence shall include the standards, procedures, guidelines, oversight process, training materials and related documents used to administer, perform, and accept peer reviews. The board has the authority to request any other documents/ information from an organization about its peer review program in determining whether to grant approval.

(6) For firms required to be registered with an inspected by the public company accounting oversight board (PCAOB), the board approves the PCAOB’s inspection process for reviewing practices subject to its authority (which are not included in the scope of peer review programs). Firms receiving inspections under the PAOB are also required to meet the peer review requirements under a board-approved peer review program that covers the portion of the firm’s practice not subject to the PCAOB inspection process, should the firm have such a practice.

I. Authority and function of peer review oversight committee:

(1) The board may appoint up to five individuals licensed in this or another state to a peer review oversight committee to monitor programs administered by the sponsoring organization and report periodically to the board. Peer review oversight committee members shall not be current members of the board or perform any enforcement related work for regulator or governmental bodies, professional organizations (including but not limited to an AICPA ethics committee, AICPA joint trial board or state professional ethics committee) or similar groups or subgroups, including consultants and other similar arrangements for the board; and may be removed or replaced by the board at its discretion.

(2) Each committee member shall annually sign a confidentiality statement indicating they will not divulge any information to the board or any other person or entity that would identify any firm, licensee, or peer reviewer/reviewing firm.

(3) The peer review oversight committee may conduct oversight of approved sponsoring organization to provide reasonable assurance that the program it is administering is complying with the minimum standards for performing and reporting on peer reviews. The committee shall report to the board any modifications to the sponsoring organization and shall make the recommendations regarding their continued approval.

(a) Oversight procedures to be performed by the peer review oversight committee may consist of, but are not limited to, the following activities:

- (i) visit the sponsoring organization for the approved peer review program;
- (ii) review the sponsoring organization’s procedures for administering the program;
- (iii) meet with the sponsoring organization’s RAB during consideration of peer review documents;
- (iv) review the sponsoring organization’s compliance with their programs.

(b) The peer review oversight committee shall verify that firms comply with peer review requirements as follows:

(i) verification may include review of the peer review report, the firm’s response to the matters discussed in the peer review report, and the acceptance letter outlining any additional corrective or monitoring procedures, and the letter(s) signed by the sponsoring organization notifying the firm that required actions have been appropriately completed;

(ii) any other actions deemed necessary by the peer review oversight committee to assure compliance with peer review standards.

J. Disciplinary action:

(1) The board shall take disciplinary action against a firm for failure to comply with peer review requirements. Actions may include, but are not limited to, remedial and corrective procedures, fines, and denial of firm registration.

(2) In the event a firm is unwilling or unable to comply with established standards, or a firm’s professional work is so egregious as to warrant disciplinary action, the board shall take appropriate action to protect the public interest.

(3) Peer review documents must be submitted to the board office in accordance with Subsection F of 16.60.4.10 NMAC.

(a) For each day the firm is delinquent in submitting the documents, the board may assess a fine of \$10 per day not to exceed \$1,000.

(b) If peer review documents are submitted more than 100 days late, a notice of contemplated action may be issued against all licensees listed on the most recent firm permit renewal application as owners of the firm.

(4) Requests for extensions must be submitted no later than 30 days prior to renewal date as required by Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 16.60.4.10 NMAC.

K. Privileged information: A report, statement, memorandum, transcript, funding record, or working paper prepared for and an opinion formulated in connection with any positive enforcement or peer review is privileged information held by the sponsoring organization and may not be subject to discovery, subpoena, or other means of legal compulsion for release to any person and is not admissible as evidence in any judicial or administrative proceeding except for a board hearing.

L. In the event a firm is merged, otherwise combined, dissolved, or separated, the sponsoring organization shall determine which firm is considered to be the succeeding firm, if any. The succeeding firm shall retain its peer review status and the review due date.

[16.60.4.10 NMAC - Rp 16 NMAC 60.11.8 & 16 NMAC 60.11.9, 2/14/2002; A, 6/15/2004; A, 12/30/2005; A, 6/30/2008; A, 9/15/2015; A, 10/1/2016; A, 1/13/2026]